IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA AUGUSTA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v. CASE NO.: CR 124-057

CAMERON MARTINAS CURRY QUAVAUN ENRECO RHODES

SCHEDULING AND DISCOVERY ORDER

In consideration of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Local Criminal Rules, the discussion at the arraignment for Defendant Curry on October 21, 2024, and to keep the pretrial deadlines unified in this case, the Court enters the following deadlines, findings, and instructions.

EVENT	DEADLINE
Government Notice (Fed. R. Evid. 404(b))	November 6, 2024
Pretrial Motions by All Parties	December 16, 2024
Government Expert Disclosures (Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G))	November 15, 2024
Status Report (No Motions Filed)	December 23, 2024
Responses to Pretrial Motions	December 30, 2024
Status Report (Motions Filed)	January 6, 2025
Defense Expert Disclosures (Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(b)(1)(C))	December 16, 2024

Status Report. The parties shall submit the attached status report on the date set forth above, and failure to do so may result in the Court terminating all outstanding motions as resolved or waived.

Speedy Trial Findings. The Court finds, as a matter of fact and law, the time the parties requested to review discovery, prepare and file pretrial motions, and obtain expert witness reports

is not for the purpose of delay, but in the furtherance of justice, and to protect Defendant's right to a fair trial. The Court also finds the request is for the purpose of allowing reasonable time necessary, assuming the exercise of due diligence, for counsel to effectively prepare their case in that counsel needs additional time to review discovery, prepare and file pretrial motions, and obtain expert witness reports. Therefore, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7), and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by granting the extension outweigh the best interests of the public and Defendant in a speedy trial, the Court grants the requested time. The period of time—October 21, 2024, through and including December 16, 2024—is excluded in computing the time within which trial of this matter may commence.

Pretrial Motion Instructions. A party must file a separate motion for each form of relief sought; consolidated motions are not permitted. A responding party may file a consolidated response to multiple motions when doing so does not create confusion or ambiguity.

In multi-defendant cases, a defendant shall not file a motion that merely adopts or incorporates by reference a motion or argument by a co-defendant. Each defendant must file a separate motion containing a complete discussion of the evidence and arguments. The Clerk assigns a unique number to each defendant in a multi-defendant case. For example, in case 4:23-CR-55, the Clerk assigns the number one to the first defendant: 4:23-CR-55-<u>001</u>. All motions and responses in multi-defendant cases must include the defendant-specific case number.

Pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 16E(a), counsel must confer with opposing counsel in a good-faith effort to resolve any discovery dispute prior to filing a discovery motion. Counsel shall certify the good-faith effort in an exhibit attached to the discovery motion. Considering the Government's customary practice of providing liberal discovery, many (if not all) routine discovery motions may be satisfied without the need for Court intervention.

Rule 5 Instruction. Pursuant to the Due Process Protections Act, the Court confirms the United States' obligation to disclose to the defendant all exculpatory evidence - that is, evidence

that favors the defendant or casts doubt on the United States' case, as required by Brady v.

Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and its progeny, and ORDERS the United States to do so. The

Government has a duty to disclose any evidence that goes to negating the defendant's guilt, the

credibility of a witness, or that would reduce a potential sentence. The defendant is entitled to this

information without a request. Failure to disclose exculpatory evidence in a timely manner may

result in consequences, including, but not limited to, exclusion of evidence, adverse jury

instructions, dismissal of charges, contempt proceedings, disciplinary action, or sanctions by the

Court. Given this confirmation, generalized motions seeking assurance of the Government's

compliance are unnecessary.

Proposed Voir Dire and Jury Charges. Unless otherwise instructed by the presiding

District Judge, counsel shall file all requests to charge and proposed voir dire questions at least

seven days before jury selection.

Witness Subpoenas. Counsel representing indigent defendants must make requests for

witness subpoenas or writs for the production of prisoner witnesses at least fourteen days before

the evidentiary hearing or trial. Requests for witness subpoenas must articulate specific facts

demonstrating both the relevancy and necessity of the requested witnesses' testimony.

Discovery Dissemination. All parties shall comply with the restrictions concerning

dissemination of discovery in Local Criminal Rule 16F. Failure to comply may result in contempt

proceedings.

SO ORDERED this 22nd day of October, 2024, at Augusta, Georgia.

BRIAN K. EPPS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

Choose an item. **DIVISION**

UN	NITED STATES OF AMERICA			
	v.	C	CASE NO.: :-CR-	
,	D 6 1			
	Defendant.			
	JOINT ST	TATUS REPO	<u>RT</u>	
I.	Date of Status Report Conference:		<u> </u>	
II.	Conference Attendees:			
	Name		Role	
III.	Pretrial Motions.			
	All pretrial motions have been satisfied or otherwise resolved.			
	The parties have not resolved the follofrom the Court to settle the actual con			equire a ruling
	Motion Title and Docket Number	Opposed	Oral Argument Requested	Evidentiary Hearing Requested
		Y/N	Y/N	Y/N
-		Y/N	Y/N	Y/N
		Y/N	Y/N	Y/N

Complete Remaining Portions Only In Judge Baker Cases

IV. Are the parties prepared to proceed to trial? If so, list three potential dates for a telephonic status conference with the presiding District Judge. <u>Yes/No</u>

Dates for Telephonic Conference	

V.

Do the parties believe the case will result in a negotiated plea agreement and, if so, do the parties request additional time (not to exceed 30 days) for further plea negotiations and how much time do the parties request? <u>Yes/No</u>					
Additional Time Requested					
This day					
/s/	Assistant United States Attorney				
/s/	Defense Counsel				